

Course Evaluation  
Summer 2017

COMP.1020-011  
Computing II  
Chukwunweike Abuah

Enrollments 22

Questions	Count	Mean	Mean as a percent of possible	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
			score 0 20 40 60 80 100					
1. The course instructor clearly outlined course learning objectives, requirements, grading criteria and student responsibilities.	17	4.29		0	1	0	9	7
2. Evaluation of my performance was fair and objective.	17	4.53		0	0	1	6	10
3. Instruction in this class contributed to my learning.	17	4.12		1	0	3	5	8
4. The instructor provided clear explanations of course material.	17	4.12		0	1	3	6	7
5. The instructor provided helpful feedback to exams, quizzes, and assignments.	17	4.53		0	0	0	8	9
6. The instructor was enthusiastic.	17	4.47		0	0	2	5	10
7. The instructor was interested in my learning and understanding of the course material.	17	4.41		0	1	1	5	10
8. Based on my experience in this course, I would recommend this instructor to a fellow student.	17	4.00		1	1	2	6	7
Overall Averages	17.0	4.31		0.3	0.5	1.5	6.3	8.5

Comments:

Very friendly and was helpful throughout the course!	Chike was very thorough in responding to questions and also provide many helpful tips to improve skills beyond the scope of the course.
Excellent teacher!	Excellent teacher who cares about his students and their learning. Would recommend to anyone.
For computing teacher I've had, always available for help, learned a lot and enjoyed coming to class	

Course Evaluation  
Summer 2017

COMP.1040L-011  
Computing II Lab  
Chukwunweike Abuah

Enrollments 22

Questions	Count	Mean	Mean as a percent of possible score	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
			0 20 40 60 80 100					
1. The course instructor clearly outlined course learning objectives, requirements, grading criteria and student responsibilities.	16	4.38		0	0	3	4	9
2. Evaluation of my performance was fair and objective.	16	4.44		0	0	1	7	8
3. Instruction in this class contributed to my learning.	16	4.19		0	0	4	5	7
4. The instructor provided clear explanations of course material.	16	4.31		0	0	4	3	9
5. The instructor provided helpful feedback to exams, quizzes, and assignments.	16	4.31		0	0	1	9	6
6. The instructor was enthusiastic.	16	4.19		0	0	4	5	7
7. The instructor was interested in my learning and understanding of the course material.	16	4.31		0	0	3	5	8
8. Based on my experience in this course, I would recommend this instructor to a fellow student.	16	4.19		0	1	2	6	7
Overall Averages	16.0	4.29		0.0	0.1	2.8	5.5	7.6

Comments:

Far better and more engaging than any of the regular/tenured professors I've had in CS at UML.	Seemed to care about what we wanted to know as opposed to just trying to get through the class.
Super smart & super helpful! Mike	Chike was very approachable and very thorough in responding to questions. He was also very helpful in providing advice to improve programming skills in general, beyond
I will be recommended in front of us in class.	The professor moved through the concepts of the material very quickly while not stepping through how to implement the code, and instead had us look at already written code. Otherwise, wonderful professor and would definitely recommend!
If I hadn't already taken this class, I would have failed.	